### HODNOTENIE CUPRESSUS SEMPREVIRENS L. OTUŽILOSŤ CEZ SACHARIDOV A OBSAHU PIGMENTOV V LISTOCH ASSESSMENT OF CUPRESSUS SEMPERVIRENS L. HARDINESS THROUGH CARBOHYDRATES AND PIGMENTS CONTENT IN THE LEAVES

Maryam I.S. ALKURDI, Ján SUPUKA

Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Department of Garden and Landscape Architecture



2014

The study was carried out in 2011 – 2014 year at Botanical garden of Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovak Republic. Cupressus sempervirens L. was planted in two types of planting, plants planted direct in the soil and stayed outside during winter time and plants planted in pots and protected during winter time, when plants were removed in to greenhouse from end of November until end of March. The sample of young, one year leaves had been taken in end of January when temperature over night was (-7°C) and at 9 am was (-3°C). The results showed that there are significant differences between plants planted outside and plants protected during winter time in all of studied characteristics. Plants planted in ground had the highest chlorophyll a and total sugar content in comparison with plants in pots which were in greenhouse when temperature has recorded on (8°C) in average. There is an inverse relationship between chlorophyll a and (total sugar and starch). The study found also an inverse relationship between total sugar and starch content in the leaves. Also an inverse relationship between chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b was found; increase of chlorophyll a leads to decrease of chlorophyll b content.

Keywords: Cupressus sempervirens L. leaves, plant pigments, sugar, starch

Cupressus sempervirens var. pyramidalis L. native to Mediterranean, the Italian Cypress is surely one of the most beautiful and evocative trees in the world it grows in different parts of many countries (Blakelock, 1979). Cupressus is a tall and erect coniferous evergreen tree with dark green foliage all seasons and spherical green cones maturing brown. It may reach a height of 20 meters. It is very common in boulevards, ornamental gardens, planted forests, cemeteries and as a windbreaker around plantations. It does best in rich, fertile soils and sun or partial shade places. Within photosynthesis process the plants take in CO2 and water (H2O), and utilizing the power of sun light along with minerals, enzymes and chlorophyll, produce oxygen (O2) and carbon compounds such as glucose (C6H12O6). Plants can produce a variety of carbon compounds through this process, including oils, proteins, and starches. Plants use these materials for our food, medicines, dyes, perfumes, fibers etc. Both biotic and a biotic stress factors affect the content and efficiency of leaf photosynthetic pigments or their reciprocal ratio (Bacci et al., 1998). For example,

the assessment of leaf photosynthetic pigments is an important indicator of senescence because breakdown of leaf chlorophyll is associated with environmental stress (Brown et al., 1991).

(Rose & Haase, 2002) reported that chlorophyll fluorescence measurements are useful for determining cold hardiness and resistance to stress, because they provide a rapid assessment of seedling vigour following exposure to freezing. Sun leaves are known to differ from shade leaves in their composition of photosynthetic pigments, chloroplast ultra structure, photosynthetic rates, and resistance to light stress (Sarijeva et al. 2007).

Carbohydrate contents of forage can vary widely due to the interaction of plants and their environment. These variables include: species and variety of the forage, stage of growth, and environmental conditions during plant growth. Environmental factors include temperature, light intensity and availability of water and nutrients. Temperature has been shown to have an effect on mobilizing carbohydrate from leaves (Hartt, 1965; Potvin & Strain, 1985). There have been critical evaluations and strong overtones throughout on the role of the principal climatic that influence growth through the intermediation of internal bio physicochemical processes such as photosynthesis and carbon balance (Körner, 2003). For example, temperature influences rates of photosynthesis and respiration, as well as growth rate associated with rates of cell division and elongation. Levels of soluble sugars and starch reflect the balance between carbon gain (photosynthesis) and loss (growth and maintenance respiration) within a plant, representing a tree's capital for growth after dormancy and acting as a buffer for insufficient source activity (photosynthesis) due to adverse weather conditions or loss of foliage. In the green house, day/night temperatures are maintained at specific levels for each plant to obtain profitable yield and marketable quality at prevailing solar radiant energy levels.

The aim of this study is to determine the total soluble carbohydrates (starch and total sugar) and pigment contents of Cupressus sempervirens which was planted under Slovak Republic climate condition.

#### MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study was carried out in 2011 - 2014 year at Botanical garden of Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovak Republic. *Cupressus sempervirens* var. pyramidalis was planted in two types of planting and in three replications for each planting type. First plants planted in the ground and stayed outside during winter condition, the second was planted in pots and protected during winter time, and the plants remove in to greenhouse from end of November until end of March. The sample of leaves was taken when the temperature was (-3°C) at 9 am and during night was (-7°C) outside, the young leaves was taken from plants and the pigments, total sugar and starch was determined.

Assimilation pigments contents were measured in control leaves as follows: The segments of the youngest mature leaves of Cupressus sempervirens L. were homogenized with using sea sand, MgCO3 and 100% acetone and then extracted with 80% acetone. Extracts were centrifuged 2 minutes at 2500 rpm. Absorbance (A) of the solution was measured by UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Jenway, UK), at 470 nm, 647 nm, and 663 nm, with correction for scattering at 750 nm; the measurements were done in three repetitions (OLŠOVSKÁ et al. 2013). The concentrations of chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), chlorophyll *b* (Chl *b*) and carotenoids (Car) in mg\*l-1 was determined by using the equations of Lichtenthaler (1987):

Chl a = 12.25\*(A<sub>663</sub> - A<sub>750</sub>)-2.79\*(A<sub>647</sub> - A<sub>750</sub>)\*D

Chl  $b = 21.50^{*}(A_{647} - A_{750}) - 5.10^{*}(A_{663} - A_{750})^{*}D$ 

Chl  $a+b = 7.15^{*}(A_{663} - A_{750}) + 18.71^{*}(A_{647} - A_{750})^{*}D$ 

Car = [(1,000\*(A<sub>470</sub> - A<sub>750</sub>) -1.82\*(Chl a) - 85.02\*(Chl b))/198]\*D

The concentrations of the pigments were calculated in mg dm-3; An was the absorbance at given wavelengths (n) after correction for scattering at 750 nm; D was the optical thickness of cuvette; results were also recalculated in mg. m-2 using the volume of solution and the area of leaf segments: [mg. m-2] = V/1000\*1/A, when V is volume of 80% acetone and A is area of leaf segments.

The starch content was determined according to the polarimetric method of Ewers (Michalik et al., 1978). A portion of 5 g of a homogenised sample is weighed in a 100 ml Kohlrausch volumetric flask and its content is mixed with 25 ml of 1.124% HCl solution. After addition of another 25 ml of 1.124% HCl solution, the suspension is heated on a boiling water bath for 15 min (after 3 min the content of a volumetric flask is mixed to avoid coagulation). Once the hydrolysis is finished, 20 ml of 1.124% HCl solution is added. After fast cooling (using a stream of flowing water), clarification using 5 mL of Carrez I (30% ZnSO4 solution ) and 5 ml of Carrez II (15% K4[Fe(CN)6] solution ) solutions is performed. Finally, a volumetric flask is filled up by distilled water, its content is properly mixed, and filtrated using a filtration funnel. The obtained filtrate is then transferred to a polarisation tube (2 dm) and measured using a polarimeter.

The extent of polarisation is related to the concentration of the optically active molecules in solution by the **Eq. 1** 

 $\alpha = [\alpha]_{\lambda}^{t} . \ell . c$ 

Where  $\alpha$  is the measured angle of rotation,  $[\alpha]_{\lambda}^{t}$  is the optical activity (which is a constant for each type of molecule),  $\ell$  is the path length and c is the concentration. The overal angle of rotation depend on the temperature and awvelength of light used and olso these parameters are usually standarddised (e.g. 20°C and 589.3 nm (the D-line for sodium).

The obtained value is firstly corrected for a laboratory temperature (t) drift using **Eq.2**  $\alpha$  crrected=  $\alpha$  meaured ( $\circ$ S)- 0.0144(t-20) [ $\circ$ S]

followed by multiplying by a factor of 0.3462.

The amount of starch (X) in the sample is calculated using Eq.3

$$\mathsf{X}=\frac{10^{4}.\alpha}{\left[\alpha\right]_{\lambda}^{t}.\ell.m}$$

Where  $\alpha$  is calculated value of optical rotation,  $[\alpha]^{\lambda}$  is the optical activity (specific rotation) depending on the discharge lamp and wavelength of light used and variety of starch,  $\ell$  is the path lenght (2dm), and m is the sample weight (5g). For a mercury discharge lamp and a wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) of 546.1 nm, the  $[\alpha]^{t}_{\lambda}$  values are 214.7, 216.3, 213.3, 213.1, 218.5,217.0 and 215.5 for wheat, rye, barly, oat, rice, maize and

213.3, 213.1, 218.5,217.0 and 215.5 for wheat, rye, barly, oat, rice, maize and unknown cereal starch, respectivly. (Not: the correction for moisture is not account in the equation).

Total sugar was determined according to Somogyi (in MichAlik et al., 1978 and Frederick, 1989) . 1-ml samples were combined either with 10 mg substrate and 1 ml citrate buffer (0.1 M, pH 5.0) or with 1 ml substrate solubilized in 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at 1% (w/v) in 50-ml Folin tubes. A control for each sample was prepared with substrate and buffer. Tubes were incubated at 40°C for 24 h. After incubation, 2 ml of copper reagent, consisting of 4 parts KNa tartarate: Na2C03:Na2SO4:NaHCO3 (1:2:12:1.3) and 1 part Cu- SO4 · 5H2O:Na2SO4 (1:9), was added to each tube. Both copper reagents must be prepared by boiling to completely dissolve the components; they can then be stored at room temperature. They were mixed together just prior to use. After 1 ml of sample was added to the appropriate control tubes, all tubes were boiled for 10 min in a water bath. The tubes were then cooled completely, 2ml of arsenomolybdate reagent (25 g ammonium molybdate in 450 ml H2O + 21 ml H2SO4 + 3 g Na2HASO4 · 7H2O dissolved in 25 ml H2O) was added to each tube, and the tubes were shaken thoroughly before adjusting the final volume to 25 ml with water. Individual samples were filtered through filter paper, and colorimetric measurements were determined by transmitted light at 500 nm in a spectrophotometer. The results of sugar and starch content in leaves are expressed in% of dry weight (d w). Evaluated the nutrient system of soil samples taken from the experimental area. Sampling took on 11.12.2012, from the direct planted plants and plant planted in pots was collected from a depth of 50 mm and 300 mm. (Table 1, 2) Nutrients and trace elements in soil samples In the evaluation of elements of the samples taken from the experimental area was determined acceptable nutrient content according to Mehlich III. The values of the elements of soil analysis for the experimental area were compared within the assessment criteria analysis results of soil Mehlich III method. and according to Lindsay and Norvel under Annex no. 5 to Decree No. 338/2005 Coll. Ministry of Agriculture of the Slovak Republic on 6 July 2005 on the procedure for the collection of soil samples. Air temperature and rainfall were received from dates of metrological station of Botanical garden during study period (table 3, 4).

An experiment was laid out as Factorial Randomized Complete Design (RCD) in three replications, the data were analyzed with the general linear model procedures in Statistical Analysis System (SAS), and Duncan test at level 0.05 was used for the means separation.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Data obtained that planting outside increased all pigments and total sugar while planting in pots and protected during winter time increased only starch content in plants. Chlorophyll content is of particular significance to precision in agriculture as an indicator of photosynthetic activity. Nitrogen concentration in plants is related to Chlorophyll content and indirectly to one of the basic plant physiological processes photosynthesis (Sabo et al., 2002; Bojovic & Stojanovic, 2005). As it seen in table (2) that nitrogen level in pot plants was in good level in each depth (0-50mm, 0-300mm), while in plants planted in ground the soil in depth (0-50mm) nitrogen was suitable but in depth ( 0-300mm ) nitrogen level was low, as it known that in agricultural and horticultural systems, mineral-N is mainly prone to losses through: (a) ammonia volatilisation; (b) leaching (i.e. removal in drainage water) and (c) denitrification (i.e. transformations into gaseous forms) (Cameron et al. 2013).

(Fig.1) described the amount of chlorophyll *a*, the maximum content of chlorophyll a was in plants planted outside during winter time (1.959mg.g-1) and the minimun chlorophyll a was in plant planted in pots and protected against winter condition( 0.444mg.g1). The maximum total sugar was in plants planted in the ground and was outside in winter time (6.13 % dry weight) while lowest total sugar was in pot plants (2.81 % in dry weight) Some Chlorophyll a fluorescence ratios are frequently used to evaluate stress conditions (De Oliveira et al. 2009), This means that the hardiness in cupressus was icreased with increasing of Chlorophyll a. The ability of woody perennials to survive winter is depending on their entry into dormancy state as well as the development of their cold acclimation achieved by a continuous exposure from -5 to -15°C. In late autumn, after leaves have dropped and with the first frosts (up to -3°C), trees become dormant (WEISER, 1970). The results agree with (Pressman et al., 1994). Long term low temperature treatment led to a sharp decrease in the sugar content in Asparagus. Cold acclimation is associated with changes at morphological, metabolic, proteomic and gene expression levels. Among cold-acclimated plants can cope with the cold-impaired other changes, photosynthetic components such as induced changes in pigment complexes, reduced net and maximal photosynthetic rates, induced losses of photochemical efficiency, restricted electron transport and enzyme activity or reduced carbohydrate metabolism. The data in (Fig.2) showed the effect of chlorophyll a on starch content in plants reduces in chlorophyll a laid to increase starch content in each planting types. Our data, along with those of Lefebvre et al., (2005), demonstrate a clear correlation between increased photosynthesis and starch accumulation.

An inverse relationship between chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b was found in (Fig.3). Maximum content of chlorophyll a was (1.959 mg.g-1) which were planted outside and the lowest chlorophyll b was in plants planted in pot (0.173 mg.g-1), (Table 5, 6). The results agree with Kalaivani et al. (2013). Leaves exhibit a structural and functional acclimation of the photosynthetic apparatus to the light intensity experienced during their growth (PRIOUL et al. 1980). Chlorophyll b is synthesized from chlorophyll a by oxidation of a methyl group on the B ring, of the latter molecule, to a formyl group at that position (Porra et al. 1993). The main function of chlorophyll b is important for plant in addition Sakuraba et al. (2012) have demonstrated that increased chlorophyll b synthesis delays senescence; thus, it retains the gene expression of several chlorophyll biosynthetic enzymes. These findings demonstrate the existence of a regulatory network among genes coding for enzymes involved in the greening process.

Chlorophyll a+b increased with decreasing chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, the highest chlorophyll a+b was in plants planted outside during winter time (Fig. 4, 5).

The study of Shlyk et al., (1963) suggest that chlorophyll b is formed from newly synthesized molecules of chlorophyll a, rather than from the general pool of chlorophyll a molecules which are found by photo conversion and phytzlation of protochlorophyllide already existing in etiolated plants.

An inverse relationship was among carotenoids, starch and total sugar (Fig. 6) decreasing in starch (3.46 % in dry weight) laid to increase total sugar (6.13% in dry weight) and decrease carotenoids (0.252 mg. g-1) (Table.5, 6). In plants planted in pots and protected against cold weather, while decreasing in total sugar (2.81% in dry weight) increased starch content (6.37% in dry weight) and decreased carotenoids (0.782 mg. g-1). The concentrations of leaf pigments can be associated with environmental factors such as ambient temperature/sunlight (Saenger, 2002), water availability (Lacerda, 2002). As it known temperature is especially important, the rate of chemical reactions increases with warmer temperatures, and nearly all physiological processes involved in plant growth are controlled by temperature. As it showed at Fig. 7 the relation between chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids the chlorophyll a rate was highest than chlorophyll b and carotenoids the result agreeing with He et al. (1999) The content of chlorophyll a in green plants is twice as that as of chlorophyll b. The transfer of excitation energy from carotenoids to chlorophyll a is facilitated by the presence of chlorophyll b (Thorne & Boardman, 1971). Carotenoids accumulation differed with time (Watada et al. 1976).

**Table 1** Nutrients and trace elements in soil samples (Department of Agrochemistryand Plant Nutrient of FAaFR, SAU Nitra, 2013 according to Mehlich III

| Planting type          | Depth | pH Nan |        | The nutrient content mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> Nan     (Mechl.III) |       |      |       |
|------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| 0,71                   | mm    | ·      | mg.ĸg- | Р  | K     | Ca   | Mg    |
| Planting in the ground | 0-50  | 6,86   | 13,5   | 162,5  | 700   | 4710 | 952   |
| Planting in the ground | 0-300 | 6,88   | 8,6    | 111,25   | 537,5 | 4665 | 981.5 |
| Planting in pots       | 0-50  | 5,87   | 27,6   | 465  | 900   | 6700 | 1369  |
| Planting in pots       | 0-300 | 6,41   | 25,35  | 350  | 712,5 | 6635 | 1219  |

 Table 2
 Evaluation of analyses of soils for arable land (nutrient content limits in mg.kg-1) according to Mehlich III

|                        | Denth |                  |              | The          | nutrient c   | ontent mg.   | kg⁻¹         |
|------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Planting type          | mm    | рН               | Nan          | (Mechl.III)  |              |              |              |
|                        |       |                  | тід.кд-      | Р            | К            | Ca           | Mg           |
| Planting in the ground | 0-50  | Neutral          | Suitabl<br>e | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high |
| Planting in the ground | 0-300 | Neutral          | Low          | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high |
| Planting in pots       | 0-50  | Slightly<br>acid | Good         | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high |
| Planting in pots       | 0-300 | Neutral          | Good         | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high | Very<br>high |

| Table 3 Average temperatu | ire in °C Nitra (2 | 2011, 2012, 20 | 13 and 2014) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|

| Month                    | 2011  | 2012  | 2013 | 2014 |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|
| January                  | -0.90 | 1.36  | -0,8 | 2,42 |
| February                 | -0.60 | -2.49 | 1,5  | 3,86 |
| March                    | 5.90  | 7.41  | 3,1  | -    |
| April                    | 12.70 | 11.23 | 12,1 | -    |
| Мау                      | 15.80 | 17.29 | 15,6 | -    |
| June                     | 19.80 | 20.86 | 19,3 | -    |
| July                     | 19.70 | 22.77 | 22,8 | -    |
| August                   | 20.90 | 21.47 | 21,9 | -    |
| September                | 17.70 | 17.02 | 14,7 | -    |
| October                  | 9.90  | 10.46 | 12,1 | -    |
| November                 | 3.00  | 7.45  | 6,8  | -    |
| December                 | 2.20  | -0.91 | 2,3  | -    |
| Year Average Temperature | 10.51 | 11.16 | 11,0 | -    |

Table 4 Sum of rainfalls in mm Nitra (2011-2012- 2013 and 2014)

| Month    | 2011 | 2012 | 2013  | 2014 |
|----------|------|------|-------|------|
| January  | 25   | 61.1 | 71.2  | 1,19 |
| February | 6    | 23.5 | 75.6  | 1,1  |
| March    | 27   | 2.8  | 113.9 | -    |
| April    | 13   | 36.1 | 20.4  | -    |
| Мау      | 48   | 19.6 | 77.8  | -    |
| June     | 91   | 70.1 | 46.7  | -    |
| July     | 122  | 61.4 | 2.1   | -    |

| August                   | 152 | 7.3   | 73.9  | - |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---|
| September                | 92  | 32.7  | 60.0  | - |
| October                  | 37  | 76.1  | 30.5  | - |
|                          | 1   | 34.6  | 71.3  | - |
| November                 |     |       |       |   |
| December                 | 42  | 44.4  | 11.0  | - |
| Year Sum of<br>Rainfalls | 656 | 469.7 | 654.4 | - |

**Table 5** Dry weight , starch and total sugar in leaves of Cupressus sempervirens L influenced by planting type

|                        | Studied characteristics |                          |                                  |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Planting type          | Dry weight (mg)         | Starch (% in dry weight) | Total sugar (% in dry<br>weight) |  |  |
| Planting in the ground | 92.063 <sup>b</sup>     | 3.46 <sup>b</sup>        | 6.13 <sup>ª</sup>                |  |  |
| Planting in pots       | 92.393 <sup>a</sup>     | 6.37 <sup>a</sup>        | 2.26 <sup>b</sup>                |  |  |

\*Means not followed by the same letters are significant at 5% level of probability

**Table 6** Chlorophyll *a,b, a+b* and carotenoids in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens*L. influenced by planting type

|                        | Studied characteristics                        |  |  |                                       |  |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Planting type          | Chlorophyll <i>a</i><br>(mg. g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Chlorophyll <i>b</i><br>(mg. g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Chlorophyll <i>a+b</i><br>(mg. g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Carotenoids<br>(mg. g <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |
| Planting in the ground | 1.959 <sup>a</sup>                             | 0.863 <sup>a</sup>                             | 2.822 <sup>a</sup>                               | 0.782 <sup>a</sup>                    |  |
| Planting in pots       | 0.444 <sup>b</sup>                             | 0.173 <sup>b</sup>                             | 0.617 <sup>b</sup>                               | 0.252 <sup>b</sup>                    |  |

\*Means not followed by the same letters are significant at 5% level of probability



2014

**Figure 1** Chlorophyll *a* and total sugar content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



**Figure 2** Chlorophyll *a* and starch content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



**Figure 3** Chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



**Figure 4** Chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *a*+*b* content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



2014

**Figure 5** Chlorophyll *b* and chlorophyll *a+b* content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



**Figure 6** Starch, total sugar and carotenoids content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type



**Figure 7** Chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b* and carotenoids content in leaves of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. influenced by planting type

#### CONCLUSION

Štúdia bola vykonaná v roku (2011 - 2013) v botanickej záhrade Slovenskej poľnohospodárskej univerzity v Nitre , Slovenská republika . *Cupressus sempervirens* L. bol zasadený do dvoch typov pestovania , rastliny vysadené priamo v pôde, ktoré zostali vonku aj v zimnom období a rastliny vysadené v kvetináčoch a chránených v zimnom období a prenesené do skleníka od konca novembra do konca marca, kde teplota vzduchu dosahovala hodnoty +5 až +8 °C. Vzorky listov boli odobraté v druhej polovici januára, keď vonkajšia teplota vzduchu bola pod nulou (-7 °C v noci a -3 °C o 9:00hod. ráno). Výsledky ukázali , že existujú významné rozdiely medzi rastlinami vysadených na pokusnej ploche a rastlín chránených v zimnom období vo všetkých sledovaných charakteristikách. Dreviny vysadené na experimentálnej ploche mali vyšší obsah chlorofylu *a* celkových cukrov

v listoch v porovnaní s rastlinami vo vnútri. Existuje inverzný vzťah medzi obsahom chlorofylu *a* obsahom celkových cukrov a škrobu. Výsledky výskumu ukázali tiež inverzný vzťah medzi obsahom celkových cukrov a obsahom škrobu v listoch drevín. Rovnako bol identifikovaný inverzný vzťah medzi obsahom chlorofylu *a* a chlorofylu *b*, nárast chlorofylu a vyvolal zníženie obsahu chlorofylu*b*.

2014

**Kľúčové slová:** *Cupressus sempervirens* L.,listy, rastlinné pigmenty, celkový cukor, škrob

#### REFERENCES

BACCI, L., VINCENZI, M. D., RAPI, B. ARCA, B. & BENINCASA, F. 1998. Two methods for the analysis of colorimetric components applied to plant stress monitoring. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 19:167 –186.

BISWAL, Ajaya - PATTANAYAK, Gopal - PANDEY, Shiv - LEELAVATHI, Sadhu - REDDY, Vanga - TRIPATH, Baishnab. 2012. Light intensity- dependent modulation of chlorophyll *b* biosynthesis and photosynthesis by overexpression of chlorophyll a oxygenase in tobacco <sup>1[C][OA]</sup>. Plant Physiol., 159: 433-449.

BLAKELOCK, Raiph. 1979. Cupressaceae. In: Towsand, CC, editor. Flora of Iraq. Baghdad: Ministry of Agriculture of Republic of Iraq, Vol. 1, p. 94.

BOJOVIC, B. - STOJANOVIC, J. 2005. Chlorophyll and carotenoid content in wheat cultivars as a function of mineral nutrition. Archives of Biological Sciences, 57:283–290.

BROWN, S.B., HOUGHTON, J.D. & HENDRY, G.A.F. 1991. Chlorophyll breakdown. In Scheer, H. (Ed.). Chlorophylls. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 465 – 489.

CAMERON, K.C., DI, H.J. & MOIR, J.L. 2013. Nitrogen losses from the soil/ plant system: a review. New Zealand.Ann Appl Biol 162 145–173.

De LACERDA, Luiz. 2002. Mangrove ecosystems: function and management. Berlin: Springer.

De OLIVEIRA, Jurandi- De COSTA AGIAR ALVES, Pedro- VITÓRIA, Angela. 2009. Alterations in chlorophyll *a* fluorecece, pigment concentrations and liqid peroxidation to chilling temperature in coffe seedlings. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 67: 71-79.

FREDERICK, Gonrad- CAROL, Antoun.- TERRY, Laura. 1989. Adaptation of the Nelson- Somogyi Reducing- Sugar Assay to a Microassay Using Microtiter Plates. Analytical Biochemistry, 182:197-199.

HARTT, Constance. 1965. The effect of temperature upon translocation of C14 in sugar cane. Plant Physiol., 40:74-81.

HE, Qingfang- SCHLICH, Thomas- PAULSEN, Harald- VERMAAS, Wim. 1999. Expression of a higher plant light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b – binding protein in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Eur. J. Biochem., 263:561-570.

2014

KALAIVANI, M., JEBANESAN, A., MARAGATHAVALLI, S., ANNADURAI, B. & GANGWAR, S. K. 2013. Studies on chlorophyll content, soluble protein, carbohydrates and moisture content of *Morus alba* Linn. International Journal of Sci. and Nature, VOL. 4(1): 131-137

KÖRNER, Christian. 2003. Carbon limitation in trees. Journal of Ecology, 91: 4–17. LEFEBVRE, Stephane- LAWSON, Tracy- ZAKHLENIUK, Oksana- LLOYD, Julie.

RAINES, Christine - FRYER, Mike. 2005. Increased sedoheptulose-1,7bisphosphatase activity in trans-genic tobacco plants stimulates photosynthesis and growth from an early stage in development. Plant Physiol, 138: 451–460.

LICHTENTHALER, Hartmut. 1987. Chlorophylls and Carotenoids : Pigments of Photosynthetic Biomembranes, Methods in Enzymology, 148: 350 - 382.

MÍCHALÍK, I., TÔTH, J., BÍZÍK, J., MAXIANOVÁ, A. & LOŽEK,O. 1978. Chemické a Rádioizotopické rozbory biologického materiálu a pôdy. Vysoka škola poľnohospodárska v Nitra.89-95.(c.f) Pelikán, M. & Suková, M. 1998. Hodnocení a využití rostlinných produktu (Návody do cvičení). České Budějovice: Jihočeská univerzita, Zemědělská fakulta, 118 s. ISBN 80-7040-279-2.

OLŠOVSKÁ, Katarina- ŽIVČÁK, Marek- HUNKOVÁ, Elena- DREVEŇÁKOVÁ, Petyer. 2013. Assessment of the photosynthesis-related traits and high temperature resistance in tetraploid wheat (Triticum L.) genotypes. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 14(2): 767-780.

PORRA R.J.W., SCHÄFER, W., CMIEL, E., KATHEDER, I- SCHEER, H. 1993. Derivation of the formyl-group oxygen of chlorophyll b from molecular oxygen in greening leaves of a higher plant (*Zea mays*). FEBS Lett, 323:31–34.

POTVIN, Catherine -STRAIN, Boyd. 1985. Effects of CO2 enrichment and temperature on growth in two C4 weeds, *Echinochloa crus-galli* and *Eleusine indica*. Can. J. Bot., 63:1495-1499.

PRESSMAN, Etan- SCHAFFER, arthur- COMPTON, David- ZAMSKI, Eliezer. 1994. Carbohydrate Content of Young Asparagus Plants as Affected by Temperature Regimes. Journal of Plant Physiology, 143(6):621-624.

PRIOUL, Jean-Louis- BRANGEON, Judy - REYSS, Agnes. 1980. Interaction between external and internal conditions in the development of photosynthetic features in a grass leaf I. Plant Physiology, 66: 762-769.

ROSE, Robin- HAASE, Diane. 2002. Chlorophyll Fluorescence and Variations in Tissue Cold Hardiness in Response to Freezing Stress in Douglas- Fir Seedlings. New Forests, 23(2): 81 – 96.

SABO, M. - TEKLIC, T. - VIDOVIC, I. 2002. Photosynthetic productivity of two winter wheat varieties (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Rostlinná Výroba,* 48:80–86.

SAENGER, Peter. 2002. Mangrove ecology, silviculture and conservation. Dordtrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

SAKURABA, Yasuhito- BALAZADEH, Salma- TANAKA, Ryouichi- MULLER-ROEBER, Bernd- TANAKA, Ayumi. 2012. Overproduction of chlorophyll b retards senescence through transcriptional re-programming in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol, 53: 505–517.

2014

SARIJEVA, Gulmira- KNAPP, Martin - LICHTENTHALER, Hartmut. 2007. Differences in photosynthetic activity, chlorophyll and carotenoid levels, and in chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in green sun and shade leaves of *Ginkgo* and *Fagus*. Journal of Plant Physiology, 164: 950-955. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2006.09.002.

SHLYK, A. A.- KAIER, V. L.- VLA5NOK, L. I. - GAPONENKO, V. 0. 1963. The final stages of biosynthesis of chlorophylis a and b in the green leaf. Photochem. Photobiol., 2: 129 -148.

THORNE, S. W. - BOARDAMAN, N. K. 1971. Formation of chlorophyll b and the fluorescence properties and photochemical activities of isolated platids from greening *Pea* seedlings. Plant Physiol., 47: 252-261.

WATADA, A.E. - NORRIS, K.H.- WORTHINGTON, J.T. - MASSIE, D.R. 1976. Estimation of chlorophyll and carotenoid contents os whole Tomato by light absorbance techique. Jouranal of Food Science, 41: 329-332.

WEISER, C.J. 1970. Cold resistance and injury in woody plants. Science, 169: 1269–1278.

#### Kontaktná adresa:

Msci. MaryamI.S. Alkurdi Tulipanova 7, 949 76 Nitra, Slovakia Tel : 00421949578959 E-mail: maryam2000salih45@gmail.com